**Appendices**

**Appendix 1:** Search strategies for systematic review

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Database** | **Search strategy** |
| MEDLINE | ("voice" [All Fields] OR "acoustics" [All Fields] OR "acoustic features" [All Fields] OR "acoustic measurements" [All Fields] OR "acoustic measures" [All Fields] OR "acoustic analysis" [All Fields] OR "acoustic analyses" [All Fields] OR "speech analysis" [All Fields] OR "speech features" [All Fields] AND ("Alzheimer" [All Fields] OR "Alzheimer’s disease" [All Fields] OR "dementia" [All Fields]) |
| CENTRAL | acoustic AND (analysis OR measure OR measure OR measurements OR features) AND (Alzheimer OR dementia) |
| Scopus | "acoustic features" OR "acoustic measurements" OR "acoustic measures" OR "speech features" OR "speech analysis" OR "acoustic analysis" OR "acoustic analyses" AND "Alzheimer" OR "Alzheimer’s disease" OR "dementia". |
| Web of Science | acoustic AND (analysis OR measure OR measure OR measurements OR features) AND (Alzheimer OR dementia). |

**Appendix 2:** Risk of Bias analyzed with JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross-sectional Studies

|  |
| --- |
| **Meilán et al. (2014)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Gonzalez-Moreira et al. (2015)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Martinez-Sanchez et al. (2017)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Meilán el al. (2018)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **De Loozea et al. (2018)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Qiao et al. (2020)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Frankenberg et al. (2021)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  | \* |  |  | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Bose et al. (2021)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Martinez-Sanchez et al. (2018)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Cho et al. (2022)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Yamada et al. (2023)** |
| **Not applicable** | **Unclear** | **No** | **Yes** | **Item** | **Number** |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | 1 |
|  | \* |  |  | Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | 2 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | 3 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | 4 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were confounding factors identified? | 5 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | 6 |
|  |  |  | \* | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | 7 |
|  |  |  | \* | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | 8 |